

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

NICE SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and)	
NICE SYSTEMS, LTD., an Israeli Corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 06-311-JJF
)	
WITNESS SYSTEMS, INC, a Delaware Corporation,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF DAMIAN SMITH

TO: William J. Marsden, Jr.
Kyle Wagner Compton
Fish & Richardson P.C.
919 N. Market Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 1114
Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at 10:00 a.m. on May 21, 2007, Plaintiffs NICE Systems, Inc., and NICE Systems, Ltd. (collectively "NICE"), by their counsel, will take the deposition upon oral examination of Damian Smith under Rules 30 and 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The deposition will be conducted at the offices of Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP, 1355 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 500, South Tower, Atlanta, GA 30309-3232, or some such other location as is mutually agreed upon by the parties.

The deposition will be conducted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules for the District of Delaware, for the purpose of discovery, for use at trial and for such other purposes as are permitted at law. This deposition will be recorded by videographic and/or ordinary stenographic methods, before a Notary Public or other person duly authorized by law to administer oaths. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed with such

adjournments as to time and place as may be necessary. You are invited to attend and examine the witness(es).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that, under Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Damian Smith's attendance and testimony is compelled by subpoena, a copy of which is attached.

Dated: May 4, 2007

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

/s/ Karen E. Keller (No. 4489)

Melanie K. Sharp (No. 2501)
Karen E. Keller (No. 4489)
Mary F. Dugan (No. 4704)
The Brandywine Building
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801

P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302)571-5028
kkeller@ycst.com

KAYE SCHOLER LLP
Scott G. Lindvall
Joseph M. Drayton
425 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212)836-8000

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nice Systems, Inc. and
Nice Systems, Ltd.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen E. Keller, Esquire, hereby certify that on May 4, 2007, I caused to be electronically filed a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, Notice of Deposition of Damian Smith, with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF, which will send notification that such filing is available for viewing and downloading to the following counsel of record:

William J. Marsden, Jr., Esquire
Kyle Wagner Compton, Esquire
Fish & Richardson, P.C.
919 North Market Street, Suite 1100
P.O. Box 1114
Wilmington, DE 19899-1114

I further certify that on May 4, 2007, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served by hand delivery on the above-listed counsel of record and on the following non-registered participants in the manner indicated:

BY E-MAIL

Noah C. Graubart (graubart@fr.com)
Nagendra Setty (setty@fr.com)
Daniel A. Kent (kent@fr.com)
Christopher O. Green (green@fr.com)
Fish & Richardson, P.C.
1180 Peachtree Street, NE
21st Floor
Atlanta, GA 30309

/s/ Karen E. Keller (No. 4489)

Karen E. Keller (No. 4489)
YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor
1000 West Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

P.O. Box 391
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391
(302) 571-5028
kkeller@ycst.com

2-AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

Issued by the
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern

DISTRICT OF

Georgia

NICE Systems, Inc. and NICE Systems, Ltd.

SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE

V.

Witness Systems, Inc.

Case Number:¹ 06-CV-311-JJF Dist of Delaware

TO: Damian Smith
c/o Fish & Richardson, P.C.
1180 Peachtree Street, N.E., 21st Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District court at the place, date, and time specified below to testify in the above case.

PLACE OF TESTIMONY	COURTROOM
	DATE AND TIME

- ☒ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time specified below to testify at the taking of a deposition in the above case. (This deposition will be recorded by videographic and/or stenographic methods.)

PLACE OF DEPOSITION Ashe, Rafuse & Hill, LLP, 1355 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 500, South Tower, Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3232	DATE AND TIME 5/21/2007 10:00 am
--	-------------------------------------


- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or objects at the place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
-------	---------------

- ☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following premises at the date and time specified below.

PREMISES	DATE AND TIME
----------	---------------

Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking of a deposition shall designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf, and may set forth, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30(b)(6).

ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT)  Attorney for Plaintiff	DATE 5/3/2007
ISSUING OFFICER'S NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER Jason Frank, Kaye Scholer, LLP, 425 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10022 (212) 836-8000	

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

PROOF OF SERVICE

DATE

PLACE

SERVED

SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)

MANNER OF SERVICE

SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)

TITLE

DECLARATION OF SERVER

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Proof of Service is true and correct.

Executed on

DATE

SIGNATURE OF SERVER

ADDRESS OF SERVER

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

- (i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;
 - (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;
 - (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
 - (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
 - (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).